

Colorado Children and Youth Information Sharing Collaborative
Meeting Minutes
October 19, 2011 9:00 AM – 11:00 AM
Location JCJAC – Large Training Room

CCYIS Vision:

Children, youth and families experience seamless and collaborative services and supports that are responsive to their interests and needs. This is facilitated by information sharing that safeguards their privacy at both the state and local level.

CCYIS Mission:

To develop strategies for sharing information to optimize services available and delivered to children, youth and families in Colorado.

Attendees

, Director IPS, CDPHE
Jeff McDonald, Director, Jeffco JAC
Sherri Hammons, CTO, OIT
Meg Williams, Manager, CDPS, DCJ
Norm Kirsch, Manager, CDHS
Anna Lopez, Grant Manager, DCJ
Jan Petro, Director CDE
Julie Marshall, Professor, UCD-CSPH
Margie Grimsley, TA Coordinator, FFCMHCC
Kelly Abbott, SB94 0 18th JD
Sean McCaw, Data Supervisor, CDHS-CW
Peggy Baikie, Program Mgr NP, Denver Health
Sara Boylan, Director, Denver 1451
Troy Evatt, Data Manager, CDHS-DBH
Allie Miller, Coordinator 1451 Morgan County
Chris Duclos, Evaluator CND/JSI
Stephanie Rondenell, CND
Russell Burton, CND
Joan Masztaler, CND
Sarah Caron, CND
Susan Laniewski, Privacy, SAL Consulting/CND – on telephone
Angie Wickersham, Director HTOP, Mesa County – on telephone

Minutes from September 21, 2011 meeting were lengthy. Stephanie requested everyone read the minutes and they would be approved at the November CCYIS meeting.

Strategic Plan

- Discussion of the process for the strategic plan – is there a review process? Discussion was at a minimum to the agency Executive Directors, to OIT & GDAB. The OIT strategic plan has been considered through the strategic planning process. Strategic planning process with the CCYIS is as follows:

- Leadership team review draft
- Bring to CCYIS collaborative
- Final draft circulated to larger group
- Norm surfaced there was an executive director meeting scheduled for 11/10/2011; however the meeting has been postponed. A draft for the meeting when it is rescheduled would be a good idea. Meg Williams supports this idea as it helps to align the Executive Directors as partners.
- Susan Laniewski will have a draft of the strategic plan before the November leadership and CCYIS collaborative meeting. May not be entire plan however will be a summary and a power point presentation.

Key Issue #1

- Set-up meeting with Ron Ozga to get more information on DISH
- DISH has a core record
- Add task – Describe and agree on purpose of core record.

Key Issue #2

- Data Exchanges
- CTUG – County Trails User Group - Greg Crawford
- ARCH – Child Mark
- BJA grant

Key Issue #3

- Resources
 - GDAB/OIT
 - Privacy Officers
 - Members of ABA –JAL defense bar CDAC
 - National ABA – Stephanie will check
- Task – coordinate with other groups that are forming

Key Issue # 4

- Use Communication Plan to assist
- Add Julie
- Colorado Dept of Family
- On-going
- Included in other initiatives

Key Issue #5

- Resources
 - OIT funding
 - Technology
 -

Sherri Hammons, Chief Technology Officer provided the following update from OIT:

Sherri was VP at Abacus which supports one of the largest corporative databases. They manage 1000 files a week. Sherri is very familiar with the core record concept and how data need to be cleansed for accuracy. Sherri is currently putting together a team and hiring a Director – Information Architect. Following are the four major initiatives that OIT has underway:

- New CUPID RFP – the original bids that came in are high and the RFP was very generic. Sherri cancelled the RFP and Sherri rewrote the RFP to be more specific. The new RFP

should be out by October 21, 2011. This RFP includes linkage requirements and should be usable to create master records.

- Business intelligence to query across platforms is a key focus. The ability to query across systems requires lots of governance and access rights. The expectation is to be on-line by second quarter 2012.
- Data exchange platform “Coloradodata.gov” reuse this platform with daily feeds.
- Colorado information market place. Set data standards and governance so there is constancy. GDAB will be used for policy review and as a starting point for the platform. The platform will be very tightly controlled; OIT will guard the data until comfortable with the security.

Following is the discussion of the question and answer session with the CCYIS Collaborative and Sherri Hammons.

- Sustainability is being worked. One avenue is the SLDS grant. Have submitted race to the top for model technology. Looking for economies of scale to kick-in.
- CUPID – it is one thing to link data and another thing to link a health care record and get it wrong. If a unique identifier is established it will have to be used by everyone, counties, cities, etc. It will be important to identify the data management strategies and what are appropriate match percentages. It is also important for the GDAB and CCYIS Collaborative to let OIT know what the consequences are for incorrect data.
- What can CCYIS Collaborative do to help OIT:
 - Helping with logic and rules for matching process for creating core records and if the match is wrong what happens.
 -
 - indicated that Jeff McDonald is a good example as he has to use multiple systems.
 - Stephanie Rondenell added:
 - What property identifies the person if in multiple systems as the person working with?
 - Core information is what do I need to know right now about the person I’m working with?
 - Colorado information marketplace is setting data standards of which the core record may require 14 data elements. TRAILS has 18,000 data fields and CCYIS may only require 5-10 of these fields.
 - MOUs and privacy are still relevant.
- Meg Williams inquired about what is meant by publishing data. Sherri Hammonds explained data is like a catalog and you can see what is available. A good example is .gov where the platform allows for public data such as traffic and non-public information requires a log on to access.
- Julie Marshall inquired about dashboards. Sherri Hammons responded that people adopt the platform if they can share. Julie Marshall indicated that at the local level it is difficult to have multiple platforms and dashboards to access. Sherri acknowledged the challenge. Although there may be multiple dashboards there can be one data repository.

Core Record

Stephanie Rondenell presented information from Hawaii and Missouri's person identifiers. The question she presented to these states to gather information was: How do you know that the youth in front of you is the data you are looking at on your computer?

- Hawaii has an exchange of IDs that started with law enforcement information so doesn't include child welfare information. It is a state wide system. Sherri Hammons commented that there is matching component in their system and that every system will require a matching element.
- Missouri pulls from the MOJIS system based on SSN. When the information comes up in MOJIS there are multiple records and the employee has to figure out which data is a match to the youth they are working with. Stephanie added that the MOJIS doesn't provide all of the detailed information; however they do provide a contact person. Meg Williams commented that the way the family service plans are shared electronically is how Missouri figures out if they are choosing the correct record. TRAILS is similar with multiple records so people create a new record because they can't determine the correct record for the youth.
- Sean McCaw had a weighting in his previous work on how close to the birthday.
- Meg Williams indicated there are so many duplicate records that criteria need to be tight.
- Sherri Hammonds indicated that with linkage there are a lot of algorithms that can be used to narrow the search. The core systems change the data and forms used to collect the data. Not all issues will be addressed in the short term as this is a long term project.
- Stephanie Rondenell has experience in her previous work where a department was created to clean-up duplicate records.
- Stephanie Rondenell said there are two issues to be addressed:
 - Who is it and how to identify? What's the data look like and what are agencies willing to share.
 - Rest is placement data – what is currently going on which need to be kept concise.
- Meg Williams comments that there needs to be 2 levels. First is the match and somebody understands algorithms. Then go to the next level - what at a minimum to share. Sherri commented that the first level is the SLDS grant and the second level is data standards.

Troy Evatt has data sharing for behavioral health and judicial. Troy would like to be included in meeting with Ron.

Parking Lot

Norm Kirsch asked for a parking lot issue of Dependence & Neglect

Next Collaborative Meeting

November 16, 2011 – 9:00 AM – 11:00 AM

Location: JCJAC